

TOWN OF CLIFTON PARK TOWN BOARD

June 16, 2026

The meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Clifton Park was held in the Town Office Building at 7:00 p.m. Supervisor Barrett presiding.

Pledge of Allegiance

Present: Supervisor Barrett
Councilwoman Bellamy
Councilman Fantini
Councilman Manir
Councilwoman Reid
Town Clerk Fantini

Also Present: Town Attorney Dailey
Mark Heggen, Comptroller
Daniel Clemens, Director of Parks, Buildings & Recreation
Norah Hoefer, Communications and Technology Coordinator
Megan Babendreier Director of Parks & Recreation
Judge Robert Rybak
Susan Leonard, Director of Clifton Park Senior Community Center
Dahn Bull, Highways Superintendent
Michael O'Brien, Collection System Manager

MINUTES

MOTION by Councilman Manir seconded by Councilwoman Reid to approve the Town Board minutes of the June 2, 2026 as presented.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Abstain: None

Noes: None

MOTION CARRIED

ANNOUNCEMENTS & COMMUNICATIONS

Councilwoman Reid announced the Town's Independence Day celebration on July 4, commemorating the 250th birthday of the United States. The day's events include the Freedom Mile Race at 11:55 a.m., the parade at 12:00 p.m., food trucks, carnival rides, a craft fair, pony rides, a petting zoo, live music, and fireworks at 9:30 p.m. Residents were encouraged to attend the family-friendly celebration. She also highlighted the Town's free summer concert series, which runs through the end of August. Family-friendly performances will be held on Wednesday evenings at 7:00 p.m. These events are sponsored by Starpoint Church. In addition, Sunday evening concerts will be held at 7:00 p.m. on July 12, August 2, August 9, August 16, and August 23. Residents are invited to bring lawn chairs or blankets and enjoy live music in the Common. For additional event details, residents were directed to the Town's Parks and Recreation Facebook page and the Town website.

Councilman Fantini congratulated the New York Knicks on winning the championship.

PUBLIC PRIVILEGE 7:04 p.m.

Kevin Goggins of Thoroughbred Way expressed concerns about the Town Board's fiscal management, arguing that recent unbudgeted expenditures, including salary increases, additional staffing, and legal expenses, represent discretionary spending rather than necessary expenditures. He emphasized the importance of maintaining the Town's surplus as a reserve for unforeseen needs and cautioned against spending that could reduce the Town's financial stability. He also raised concerns that decisions related to pending agenda items, including the batch plant matter, could result in additional legal costs for the Town.

Councilman Fantini thanked the Mr. Goggins for his comments and concerns and stated that Attorney Dailey had reviewed the budget and had additional comments to offer.

Attorney Dailey responded to the public comments by providing an overview of the Town's 2026 financial status through mid-May. He stated that sales tax revenues were exceeding budget projections and that, with the exception of the Town's share of the Riverview Road property acquisition and salary increases for the Town Clerk position and Deputy Town Attorneys, expenditures remained within the adopted budget. He explained that these unbudgeted expenses were being funded from the Town's general reserve, consistent with prior use of reserve funds for capital expenditures. Attorney Dailey stated that the Town's financial reserves remained strong and expressed the opinion that there was no risk of exhausting the reserve or requiring a general town tax in 2027. He concluded by addressing what he characterized as a misconception that the Town was running out of money.

Supervisor Barrett stated that the Town remains in a strong financial position. He said the Town has built substantial financial reserves while maintaining among the lowest town taxes in New York State and credited years of fiscal discipline and planning for the Town's current financial health. He cautioned that financial stability can change quickly if spending decisions are not carefully managed, noting that there are examples throughout the Capital Region and elsewhere where changes in fiscal policy have led to declining financial conditions. He expressed concern about proposals that had been brought forward during the year, including additional athletic fields and parking improvements at the Senior Center, and stated that he hoped those projects would not move forward. Supervisor Barrett also criticized actions taken by the newly elected Town Board majority, specifically referencing employee salary increases and the addition of a position in the Town Clerk's office within the first few months of taking office. He stated that these decisions reflected the Board's approach to spending and encouraged residents to closely monitor future financial decisions. He concluded by stating that the Town has a strong bond rating, low taxes, and high-quality municipal services, and emphasized the importance of preserving the Town's financial position through continued fiscal discipline.

Councilman Fantini stated that he supports the proposed parking improvements for the Senior Center. He noted that investing in the community is important and emphasized that seniors often have mobility issues. He said that providing parking for the Senior Center is an important issue and expressed support for moving the project forward.

Supervisor Barrett stated that he has extensive familiarity with the Senior Center, noting that he has been involved with it for many years and visits regularly. He referenced prior efforts in 2016 to support and preserve the Senior Center and said he is well aware of its operations. He disagreed with the proposed parking improvement plan, stating that it was a "terrible idea" and a "terrible plan."

Ann Connolly of Valdepenas Lane expressed confusion regarding recent compensation changes, noting that some employees received pay raises while stipends for the Deputy Supervisor and the Supervisor's confidential secretary were eliminated. She questioned the fairness and justification of these decisions. She also raised concerns about the Town's budget, referencing potential litigation and associated legal costs, including expenses related to outside counsel. She stated that future lawsuits, including those related to the batch plant matter, could result in significant costs and potentially require additional borrowing or bonding. Ms. Connolly cautioned that while the Town may be in a strong position based on sales tax revenue, potential litigation expenses should also be considered in long-term financial planning. She concluded by expressing support for the individuals whose stipends were removed and criticized what she characterized as inconsistent treatment in compensation decisions.

Stephanie Ranze of Fairleigh Way criticized the handling of personnel and administrative matter involving the Town Clerk's office, stating that responsibility should not be attributed to prior staff or predecessors. She stated that the current office holder is accountable for decisions made in the position and that prior staffing changes should not be used to explain ongoing issues. She described the situation as reflecting a lack of accountability and leadership and characterized a resignation-related administrative process as routine and straightforward. She stated that such procedures are standard within municipal offices and should not require extensive difficulty to complete. Ms. Ranze further suggested that available resources and basic procedural guidance should have been sufficient to resolve the matter and questioned why it had not been handled in a timely manner. She concluded by asking about the absence of the official referenced during several recent Town Board meetings.

Town Clerk, Fantini started stating that "If you want to talk."

Ms. Ranze interrupted the Town Clerk and stated that she wanted to continue speaking during the meeting. She asked where the Town Clerk had been for the past five weeks and four Town Board meetings after the election, and repeated her question, asking, "Where were you?"

Town Clerk Fantini stated that this is a public forum and noted that it is not intended to be a back-and-forth exchange.

Ms. Ranze stated that the issue had been brought into the public forum and indicated that it should be discussed. She repeated her question, asking the Town Clerk to explain where she had been for five weeks and four Town Board meetings.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that she was not yet in office during the time in question. She said she had reached out to Ms. Ranze to attempt to arrange a discussion and was informed that Ms. Ranze would only remain in office for a few more days. She added that she had reached out around December 15.

Ms. Ranze stated that the contact referenced by the Town Clerk occurred on December 16 at approximately 3:30 p.m.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that she had requested an opportunity for an exchange or transition discussion, which she said was declined. She also stated that Ms. Ranze remained in office until December 31.

Ms. Ranze stated that she remained in office until December 24.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that the Ms. Ranze's term ended on December 31.

Ms. Ranze stated that was correct and reiterated her question, asking where the Town Clerk had been during the previous five weeks and four Town Board meetings.

Town Clerk Fantini asked where Ms. Ranze had been from December 24 to December 31 and stated that she should have still been serving the residents of Clifton Park during that time period. Town Clerk Fantini added that she was working and training her replacement at her previous job.

Ms. Ranze stated that she had previously brought another individual, Theresa, into the discussion and referenced prior training involvement. She said she had arranged to receive training with Theresa and took vacation time from her prior job in order to be present for that training, stating that it was important to her.

Town Clerk Fantini asked whether Ms. Ranze had trained her replacement at her previous job.

Ms. Ranze stated that she did, in fact, train her replacement at her previous job and affirmed that she did both.

Councilman Fantini questioned whether the conversation should continue.

Ms. Ranze told Councilman Fantini that he should not speak unless spoken to and told him to “zip it.”

Councilman Manir stated that concerns had been raised and answered, and noted that speakers have a three-minute limit. He added that there is not unlimited time for discussion and suggested that any personal matters should be addressed separately.

Ms. Ranze stated that she should not be blamed or referenced in connection with a lack of training from the previous clerk. She said that there had been ample opportunity, including four Town Board meetings, and referred to the Town Clerk as a “no-show.”

Caryl Hugg of Jonathan Drive referenced comments made at a prior meeting regarding the Town Clerk still learning the role. She questioned the Town Clerk’s statement about not knowing to provide notification that someone had separated from employment. She then asked who is conducting interviews for the Deputy Town Clerk positions.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that she is conducting the interviews for the Deputy Town Clerk positions and that her deputy is also involved in the process.

Caryl Hugg questioned the Town Clerk regarding the interview process for Deputy Town Clerk positions and raised concerns about potential legal liability related to interview practices, including knowledge of permissible interview questions and discrimination risks. She also questioned recent hiring decisions, asking whether individuals hired were personal acquaintances and whether they were qualified for the positions. She further asked about the status of the Town Clerk’s notary test from June 4.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that the question was inappropriate to the discussion, and added that she did not pass.

Caryl Hugg stated that she has learned that Ms. Fantini notarized a document without being a notary. She said the matter has been reported to the Department of State, state police, and the sheriff’s office, and that a copy of the document in question is circulating and is against the law.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that the document in question was not notarized and that she never claimed to be a notary. She said no notary stamp was used and that, once the matter was brought to her attention, it was corrected.

Caryl Hugg stated that a stamp is not required and said that Ms. Fantini signed the document as a notary public, adding that her signature appears on the document.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that the document was corrected, crossed out, and then notarized by a notary.

Ms. Hugg asked when the document was corrected.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that the document was corrected approximately 15 minutes after Ms. Hugg left her office.

Ms. Hugg stated that she returned to the office and said, “This is not notarized.”

Town Clerk Fantini stated that she explained to Ms. Hugg that the matter had been done in error.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that she was not aware the document needed to be notarized, that it was done in error, and that she apologized. She added that the document was corrected.

Ms. Hugg stated that the document referenced a notary public and said Ms. Fantini did not realize it needed to be signed by a notary. She added that it says “notary public” and reiterated her point regarding the requirement. Ms. Hugg then stated, “I just want to say to those of you making commentary,” and addressed the audience, saying that she and others have attended Town Board meetings late into the evening, sometimes until 11:00 p.m., to speak up and defend

the Town of Clifton Park and its constituents. She emphasized that they continue to attend and participate because they feel it is important for residents' concerns to be heard and represented.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that she would like to ask Attorney Dailey to explain the situation, noting that she had spoken with him after the matter had occurred.

Attorney Dailey stated that Ms. Hugg had come in and requested that a document be signed. He stated that Ms. Fantini signed the document believing she was witnessing it, and that Ms. Hugg later claimed it was a notarized document. He further stated that Ms. Hugg "tricked" her into signing it.

Ms. Hugg objected to Attorney Dailey's statement, interrupted, and stated that she was going to defend herself.

Attorney Dailey stated that Ms. Hugg had misrepresented the purpose of the document and that she later filed a complaint. He characterized the matter as political and stated that she was out of line.

Ms. Hugg interrupted and stated that she would defend herself. She said she did not care if she was escorted out. She stated that the form in question was one from Ms. Fantini's office and questioned how she could have "tricked" her into signing a document as a notary. She said she handed over her license.

Councilman Fantini attempted to call the meeting to order.

Supervisor Barrett directed Councilman Fantini to "shut up" on two occasions.

Ms. Hugg stated that she would be happy to bring the present to everyone.

Town Clerk Fantini asked Ms. Hugg if she had the corrected form, noting that it was left off the ethics report.

Ms. Hugg asked for a pause and requested permission from Supervisor Barrett to show him something.

Supervisor Barrett agreed. Supervisor Barrett made a remark directed at a person leaving the room, telling them to "go back to Halfmoon."

Councilman Fantini attempted to again ask Supervisor Barrett to call the meeting to order.

Supervisor Barrett said, "Yeah. Yeah. Shut up."

Councilman Fantini stated that the exchange was "very unprofessional."

Councilman Manir asked that the discussion stop and requested that the meeting move forward. Councilman Manir stated that a copy of the document could be provided to him and respectfully requested that the discussion end so the meeting could continue. He concluded by asking all those present to allow the meeting to proceed.

Supervisor Barrett stated that because an accusation had been made against Ms. Hugg, she had the right to respond and defend herself.

Councilman Manir stated that he understood the concern but noted that the discussion had gone on for an extended period. He thanked Ms. Hugg for bringing the matter forward, requested that she send him a copy of the document.

Ms. Hugg stated, for the record, that the only reason there was a corrected version of the document was because she returned to the office.

Jim Viola of Holbrook Drive noted that the Windover parcel had appeared on the agendas of the previous three Town Board meetings and expressed appreciation that it was not included on the current agenda. He referenced a letter entered into the record at the June 2 meeting by Michael

Bush and commended Mr. Bush for its content. Mr. Viola stated that he agreed with the letter's request for Attorney Dailey's resignation and said that other Windover Farms residents with whom he had spoken shared that view. He cited concerns raised in the letter regarding public confidence, undue influence, potential ethics violations, alleged threatening behavior, and the Town's financial interests. Mr. Viola encouraged Attorney Dailey to consider resigning and, if he did not, urged the Town Board to take action, stating that Attorney Dailey works for the Board and not the other way around.

Keith Martin of Wall Street stated that he has more than 40 years of experience as an attorney, including 20 years in private practice and 20 years in state service. He also noted that he has served the Town in appointed, unpaid positions under both Republican and Democratic administrations. Mr. Martin expressed disappointment with the conduct of the meeting, describing it as an embarrassment for both elected officials and volunteers serving the Town. He urged those involved to set aside personal disputes and focus on the business of governing the Town, stating that the issues discussed could be addressed in other forums. He also commented that municipal policy decisions should not be based on fears of litigation. Instead, he said decisions should be guided by the facts, applicable laws, regulations, and professional guidance. He concluded by encouraging the Board to make decisions it believes are legally and factually correct, accept the consequences if challenged, and focus on governing the Town.

Judy Morley commented on the parking situation at the Senior Community Center, stating that she visits the facility frequently. She said parking is generally adequate during dry weather and when attendance is low, but becomes difficult during larger events, particularly when the overflow parking area is muddy. Ms. Morley noted that while she is currently able to walk without the assistance of a walker, many seniors are not. She stated that the growing number of seniors using the center has resulted in greater demand for parking, while available parking has decreased. She expressed concern that muddy, uneven conditions create accessibility challenges for individuals using walkers, wheelchairs, or with other mobility limitations, and urged the Board to reconsider the parking situation and explore improvements.

No one else wished to heard.

Public Privilege closed at 7:35 p.m.

PRESENTATIONS

Environmental Stewardship Award to David Alexander

Councilwoman Reid read a proclamation honoring David Alexander for his service and contributions to the Town of Clifton Park.

Whereas David Alexander is owed a debt of gratitude for his contributions as a member of the Town of Clifton Park Environmental Conservation Commission since 2019, providing invaluable insight into environmental reviews, site plan evaluations, and policy recommendations for the Town Planning Board; and

Whereas David, a resident of Clifton Park since 1988, has worked as a consultant in environmental issues, founding the Air Resources Group LLC (ARG), offering expertise in air quality, and his more than 35 years of multidisciplinary experience in government, industry, and consulting has served him well as a member of the Clifton Park ECC; and

Whereas, because of his expertise working on environmental issues for the power industry, David has offered important guidance to the Town Board in the Town's effort to enact a moratorium on battery storage systems; and

Whereas David has served his community as a volunteer in the Clifton Park Baseball League, offering instruction and guidance to many young players, and has served as an officer in the Clifton Park Elks and was responsible for the Elks scholarship program, recognizing outstanding high school students in the area with college scholarships;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, the Town of Clifton Park thanks David Alexander for his extensive volunteer efforts to positively affect the preservation, protection, and enjoyment of special areas

in our community, and congratulates him on being awarded the 20126 Town of Clifton Park Environmental Stewardship Award.

Supervisor Barrett thanked David Alexander for his many years of volunteer service to the Town. He stated that Mr. Alexander has focused on important current and potential issues facing the Town and noted that managing municipal risk requires ongoing attention. He added that Mr. Alexander's work in these areas has been helpful to the Town and expressed appreciation for his expertise, hard work, and contributions toward improving the community.

David Alexander thanked the Town and stated that he and his wife have enjoyed living in Clifton Park for the past 40 years. He added that they have two new grandchildren in Chicago and stated that they are leaving next Monday and said goodbye.

PUBLIC HEARING(S)

Re-convening of 4/21/2026 adjourned Public Hearing related to the proposed moratorium on Batch Concrete Mixing Plant Facilities

Start 7:41p.m.

Town Clerk Caitlin Fantini read the Public Hearing Notice advertised in the Times Union on June 9, 2026.

Linda Mandel Clemente an attorney representing Town property owners and residents who filed a protest petition regarding the proposed local law, argued that the version currently before the Board is materially different from the version presented at the April public hearing. She stated that multiple sections of the law had been substantially revised and contended that the changes warranted a new public review process. Ms. Clemente specifically objected to a provision exempting on-site portable concrete batch plants used for large construction projects from the proposed moratorium. She argued that this exemption unfairly favors large, publicly funded developments while continuing to restrict other applicants and smaller projects that would need to transport concrete from off-site suppliers. She asserted that the revised proposal continues to target a pending applicant and creates unequal treatment among projects. Ms. Clemente further argued that the revised local law should be resubmitted to the Saratoga County Planning Commission for review because of the substantive changes. She warned that if the Board proceeded with a vote without additional review, it could face litigation from the applicant as well as from the property owners and residents she represents. Ms. Clemente concluded by alleging that moving forward with the current draft would violate both statutory law and constitutional requirements.

TJ Ruane summarized legal objections previously submitted to the Board, arguing that the proposed moratorium unlawfully targets a specific project and that the Town cannot delay an application while simultaneously adopting legislation intended to prevent that project from moving forward. Mr. Ruane alleged that the Town, Planning Board, and Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) had taken improper actions to delay review of his client's site plan application. He stated that Planning Board review was halted after consultation with the Town attorney despite, in his view, there being no legal basis for an automatic stay due to a third-party appeal. He also criticized the handling of a recent ZBA meeting, asserting that it violated Town Law, the Open Meetings Law, and constitutional rights, and argued that the practical outcome was that the zoning administrator's determination remained in effect. Mr. Ruane further contended that the amended local law differs substantially from the version originally presented, requiring a new public hearing notice and referral to the Saratoga County Planning Commission. He also argued that the proposed resolution was inconsistent with the Planning Board record, the zoning administrator's determination, and omitted reference to the protest petition filed by property owners. He asserted that statements previously made by board members demonstrated that the moratorium was intended to stop his client's project, despite language in the resolution stating that the moratorium was not directed at any specific applicant. Mr. Ruane concluded by urging the Board to follow the applicable legal process, allow the Planning Board to complete its environmental review, and avoid exposing the Town to unnecessary litigation.

Christine Matthews of Cinnamon Lane speaking on behalf of Residents for Responsible Development, urged the Town Board to prioritize the interests of Town residents and ensure the proposed local law and zoning issues are addressed properly before allowing the project to proceed. She argued that the Town is not prepared to permit what she characterized as a heavy industrial use within a light industrial zoning district and said the zoning code should be reviewed before such a use is allowed. Ms. Matthews contended that approving the application could establish a precedent for heavy industrial uses in light industrial zones. She also asserted that the applicant had declined to undertake a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), arguing that a more comprehensive environmental review was warranted. She disputed claims that the applicant had been unfairly delayed, stating that the application remained incomplete and that additional information had been requested by the Town. Ms. Matthews also questioned whether a final zoning determination had been formally issued, arguing that no written determination appears in the public record despite references to one by the Saratoga County Planning Board. Ms. Matthews stated that residents had devoted significant effort to reviewing project documents and that thousands of community members had signed petitions expressing concern about the proposal. She argued that the application had exposed deficiencies in the Town's zoning code and maintained that the proposed concrete batch plant constitutes a heavy industrial use that should not be permitted in a light industrial district. She concluded by urging the board to act in the best interests of the community and address the zoning issues before proceeding.

Anthony Morelli of Gloucester Street stated that he respected the comments made by the attorneys and Christine Matthews and acknowledged that the record surrounding the matter had become complicated. He emphasized that the issue before the Town Board was the proposed moratorium, not the underlying project application. Mr. Morelli expressed his belief that the matter would ultimately be resolved through litigation and that a court would determine the legal issues. He noted that the Planning Board would remain responsible for making the ultimate decision on the project application. Based on his own research, Mr. Morelli stated that if the moratorium were successfully challenged in court, the likely remedy would be to invalidate the moratorium and allow the application to proceed to the Planning Board. He concluded that, in his view, there was no downside to the Town Board approving the proposed moratorium.

Linda Seymour of Nadler Road Chair of the Historic Preservation Commission, stated that she was speaking both in her official capacity and as a Clifton Park resident. She noted that the commission is composed of volunteers who work without political influence and emphasized the importance of maintaining that approach. Ms. Seymour agreed that the current situation highlights the need for the Town to modernize its zoning code, which she said has not been comprehensively updated in more than 20 years despite significant changes in technology, development, and land uses. She encouraged the Town to use the current situation as an opportunity to review and improve its zoning regulations. Speaking on behalf of the Historic Preservation Commission, Seymour referenced a March 19 letter sent by the commission and the Town Historian to the Planning Board, with copies to the Town Board and Zoning Board of Appeals, identifying concerns related to historic, cultural, and archaeological resources. She expressed concern about potential impacts from increased traffic, noise, and vibration on nearby historic and cultural resources, including the Clifton Park Hotel, the historic village of Round Lake, and the historic Dater Tavern (Powers Pub). She also cited potential effects on nearby community institutions, including Corpus Christi Church, due to increased truck traffic and related activity. Ms. Seymour concluded by emphasizing the importance of considering impacts on neighboring communities as well as Clifton Park and encouraged regional cooperation in addressing these issues.

Supervisor Barrett responded that the Town has completed numerous long-range planning initiatives over the years, including zoning studies and zoning amendments. He stated that the town has undertaken many long-range planning studies and zoning changes as part of its ongoing planning efforts.

Linda Seymour stated that a community group had previously met to discuss planning issues and that one of its recommendations was for the Town to undertake a comprehensive review of its zoning code. She suggested that the Town Board consider revisiting and updating the zoning regulations in the future to address current land use and development issues.

Supervisor Barrett responded that the Town has continuously reviewed and updated its zoning regulations over the years as part of its ongoing planning and zoning efforts.

Jude Clementi a partner in the applicant entity, addressed the Town Board regarding the proposed moratorium. He asserted that the moratorium was specifically intended to stop his company's application, despite statements that it was not directed at any particular project. Mr. Clementi stated that he attended the Saratoga County Planning Board meeting and believed its members understood the issues surrounding the application. He argued that the project had undergone appropriate environmental review and maintained that a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required when no significant environmental impacts are identified through the review process. Drawing on his decades of experience in the concrete industry, Mr. Clementi disputed claims that modern concrete batch plants present the environmental and health risks described by project opponents. He maintained that current facilities are highly automated and that concerns about dust and emissions were overstated. Mr. Clementi also argued that opposition to projects such as concrete plants, housing, renewable energy facilities, and commercial development contributes to increased construction costs and limits economic growth. He contended that concrete batch plants are a long-established land use, not a new technology requiring revised zoning regulations. He further maintained that the Town's zoning code does not prohibit concrete batch plants and disputed characterizations of the proposed facility as a heavy industrial use. Mr. Clementi argued that the proposed location along a four-lane highway was appropriate for the project and suggested that much of the opposition reflected concerns about the project's location rather than the use itself. He concluded by reiterating his opposition to the proposed moratorium.

Daniel Mathias of Birch Hill Road urged the Town Board to adopt the proposed moratorium on concrete batch plants, stating that he believed it would benefit the community. He described a land use moratorium as a temporary measure that allows a municipality to pause development approvals while reviewing and potentially updating its comprehensive plan and land use regulations to address issues not adequately covered by existing laws. Mr. Mathias cited examples of other New York municipalities that have rejected concrete batch plant proposals, adopted moratoriums, or initiated zoning reviews related to such facilities. He argued that these actions demonstrate broader concerns about how concrete batch plants fit within local planning and zoning objectives. He stated that Clifton Park should similarly take time to study the issue before allowing additional applications to proceed. Concluding his remarks, Mr. Mathias encouraged the Board to adopt the moratorium to provide an opportunity to ensure that future applications are consistent with the town's planning goals and community interests.

Andrea Leo of Grooms Road stated her support for the proposed moratorium on concrete batch mixing plants. She expressed that the Town should take additional time to determine whether this type of heavy industrial use is appropriate for Clifton Park. Ms. Leo referenced community concerns regarding potential impacts including groundwater, traffic, noise, dust, and broader environmental effects. She emphasized the importance of protecting local natural resources such as waterways, wildlife habitat, and open space, noting that such resources are difficult to restore once damaged. She encouraged the Town Board to use the proposed pause to review the comprehensive plan, evaluate potential environmental impacts, and gather additional public input. Ms. Leo concluded that a six-month moratorium would be a reasonable timeframe to allow the town to collect information before making a final decision on the issue.

Supervisor Barrett thanked all speakers for their comments. He then referenced the legal notice, stating that the Town Board was continuing the public hearing from April 21st to June 16th, and asked whether there had been any changes to the proposed legislation.

Attorney Dailey responded to the Supervisor's question, stating that there were some minor changes to the proposed legislation. He characterized the revisions as minimal and not substantive. Attorney Dailey added that he was not fully familiar with all of the changes but believed they were minor additions incorporated by staff.

Supervisor Barrett referenced prior concerns about procedural compliance and stated that he had previously cautioned the Town Board regarding proper process during the initial public hearing. Supervisor Barrett then summarized and read into the record comments and findings attributed to the Saratoga County Planning Board. He stated that the County Planning Board disapproved the proposed moratorium and expressed concerns that it could be perceived as

targeting a specific applicant or project. He further reported that the County Planning Board requested additional information regarding the number of prior reviews of the concrete batch plant application and noted that materials indicated the proposed use was permitted within the zoning district. Supervisor Barrett stated that the County Planning Board also raised concerns that the moratorium could be interpreted as an attempt to delay or obstruct a pending project that had already undergone extensive municipal and county review, and that it may create intermunicipal impacts due to the project spanning multiple jurisdictions. Supervisor Barrett concluded by noting the County Planning Board's view that approval of the moratorium could place the Town in a legally vulnerable position, as such actions may be challenged as unlawful or unfairly directed at a specific project.

Attorney Dailey informed the Town Board that, due to the negative recommendation from the Saratoga County Planning Board, passage of the proposed moratorium would require a supermajority (four out of four votes) of the Town Board. He stated that the County Planning Board referral, along with the statutory requirements under General Municipal Law, and the County's response, should be included in the minutes of the public hearing. He explained that this inclusion was a key reason for continuing the public hearing, in order to properly incorporate the County Planning Board's comments into the record. Attorney Dailey further stated that, based on research by the Deputy Town Attorney for the Zoning Board of Appeals, the project application is currently considered incomplete. He concluded that, because the application is incomplete, no vested rights have been established, and therefore the Town is legally able to proceed with consideration of the moratorium.

No one else wished to be heard.

End 8:16 p.m.

Proposed Local Law establishing a Town Dangerous Dog Registry in the Town of Clifton Park.

Start 8:17 p.m.

Town Clerk Caitlin Fantini read the Public Hearing Notice advertised in the Times Union on June 9, 2026.

Supervisor Barrett stated that he had brought forward a proposal related to an increase in dangerous dog incidents in the town. He explained that a dog is classified as "dangerous" through a court process, not by the Town Board, and noted that there has been an uptick in such cases. He thanked a concerned resident for collaborating with him on draft legislation to create a mechanism to alert the public regarding dangerous dogs and their locations. Supervisor Barrett stated that there can be court-imposed conditions on dangerous dog designations, but that compliance with those conditions is not always timely or consistent. He proposed the creation of a dangerous dog registry as a potential public safety measure, referencing the county's recent implementation of an animal abuse registry. He noted that the animal abuse registry was created in response to increased animal abuse cases in Saratoga County and expressed hope that such registries could serve as a deterrent to future incidents. Supervisor Barrett then opened the floor for public comment on the proposed dangerous dog registry initiative.

Mike Sposili of Jamison Drive urged the Town Board to strengthen local ordinances regarding dangerous dogs through the creation of a centralized, publicly accessible dangerous dog registry on the Town of Clifton Park website. He stated that such a registry would improve community safety by providing residents and authorities with information about dogs with documented histories of aggression. Mr. Sposili explained that a registry could support enforcement of safety measures such as secure confinement, muzzling in public, and mandatory training requirements for high-risk dogs. He noted that similar registries exist in other jurisdictions, including Westchester County, which publishes detailed information about dangerous dogs and their owners. He referenced local incidents involving attacks by the same dog that was ultimately deemed dangerous and stated that public access to such information could help prevent future incidents. Mr. Sposili also cited New York State General Municipal Law Section 209-cc, stating that while information is shared with first responders, it should also be made available to the public. He concluded by thanking Supervisor Barrett and the Animal Control Officer for their

work on the proposal and urged the Town Board to take action to implement a dangerous dog registry in the interest of public safety.

Supervisor Barrett thanked Mike Sposili for his efforts and expressed sympathy regarding the incidents he experienced. He then asked Mr. Sposili for his thoughts on the dangerous dog registry legislation as currently proposed.

Mike Sposili stated that he supported the proposed dangerous dog registry legislation and described it as well drafted. He offered a single recommendation for clarification, suggesting that the language in Section 3 regarding the “physical description” of a dog be made more specific. He recommended that the definition be expanded to explicitly include breed, color, height, and weight, stating that the current wording was too vague and should be clarified to ensure consistency and precision in the registry information.

Supervisor Barrett reviewed the proposed language of the dangerous dog registry legislation, noting that it requires inclusion of the owner’s name, dog’s name, dog’s residence, physical description of the dog, a picture, and the date the dog was deemed dangerous by a judge. He stated that the “physical description” element already exists in the draft and indicated that details such as breed, color, height, and weight would be considered subcategories of that broader physical description.

Mike Sposili responded that the suggested details could be easily incorporated for clarity. He proposed that the legislation could explicitly list additional characteristics—such as breed, color, height, and weight—following the “physical description” language to provide greater specificity in the registry requirements.

Supervisor Barrett stated that the existing “physical description” language in the proposed legislation should already encompass details such as breed, color, height, and weight. He asked for confirmation that these characteristics would be included under that definition.

Mike Sposili reiterated that, for greater clarity, the legislation could explicitly define “physical description” by including a parenthetical list of characteristics such as breed, color, height, and weight. He stated this was a respectful suggestion intended to ensure clear understanding and consistency in how the registry information is applied.

Supervisor Barrett thanked Mike Sposili for his suggestions. He stated that while the proposal to add additional detail was appreciated, the existing language of “physical description” in the legislation would already be interpreted to include breed, color, height, and weight as part of that definition.

No one else wished to be heard.

End 8:26 p.m.

RESOLUTIONS

Resolution No.195 of 2026, a resolution adopting Local Law No.7 of 2026 establishing a 180-day moratorium on Concrete Batching facilities.

Introduced by Councilman Fantini, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Clifton Park seeks to evaluate the potential impacts associated with concrete batch mixing plants, including but not limited to land use compatibility, environmental impacts, traffic, noise, and public health considerations; and

WHEREAS, concrete batching facilities may generate impacts including dust emissions, noise, heavy truck traffic, and wastewater processing, which require careful planning and regulation to protect surrounding properties and natural resources; and

WHEREAS, the Town's current zoning regulations do not contain specific use standards, siting criteria, buffering requirements, or operational controls tailored to concrete batching facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has determined that a temporary moratorium on the acceptance, processing, and approval of applications for such facilities is necessary to preserve the status quo of light industrial zoning while the Town evaluates and considers amendments to its zoning and land use regulations; and

WHEREAS, on May 21, 2026, the Saratoga County Planning Board issued a recommendation for the disapproval of the proposed moratorium, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has carefully considered the comments offered by the Saratoga Co. Planning Board, and responds with the following clarifying points, that:

- The moratorium is Town-wide in applicability and not directed at any specific application;
- The purpose of the moratorium is to allow time for the Town to consider the development of comprehensive, generally applicable regulations;
- The moratorium is temporary in duration and tied to a defined planning effort to be undertaken by the Town Board during the pause; and
- The action is intended to address broad public health, safety, and welfare concerns, not to delay or obstruct any individual project; and

WHEREAS, the proposed moratorium constitutes a reasonable and necessary interim land use control enacted for the purpose of protecting the public health, safety, and welfare while the Town undertakes a comprehensive review of this land use; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has undertaken all required procedural steps in connection with the adoption of the proposed Local Law, including compliance with the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR), referral to the Saratoga County Planning Board pursuant to General Municipal Law §239-m, and the holding of a duly noticed public hearing; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board issues a Negative Declaration pursuant to SEQR for the adoption of this Local Law, a Type I Action; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Clifton Park hereby adopts Local Law No. 7 of 2026, establishing a 180-day moratorium on the siting, acceptance, review, and approval of applications for concrete batch mixing plants within the Town; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk shall lay across the minutes of the Public Hearing held by the Town Board concerning this matter, the text of the referral sent to the Saratoga County Planning Board and the reply received by the Town from the Saratoga County Planning Board; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to file the adopted Local Law with the New York State Secretary of State and to maintain all official records related thereto; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby directs Town staff and consultants to undertake a review of:

- zoning districts and permitted uses,
 - buffering and siting requirements,
 - environmental and operational performance standards, and
 - intermunicipal and infrastructure considerations,
- and to prepare any recommended amendments for the Town Board's consideration during the moratorium period; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid

Noes: Supervisor Barrett

DECLARED ADOPTED

Councilman Fantini stated that the resolution “speaks for itself” and indicated he had no additional comments on it. He then asked Supervisor Barrett whether he had appointed the members of the Saratoga County Planning Board who authored the letter that was read into the record.

Supervisor Barrett responded no.

Councilman Fantini stated that appointing members of the Saratoga County Planning Board is not the responsibility of the Town Board or Supervisors.

Supervisor Barrett clarified that appointments to the Saratoga County Planning Board fall under the authority of the County Board of Supervisors.

Councilman Fantini asked whether Supervisor Barrett, in his role as one of the members of the County Board of Supervisors, had participated in appointing members to the Saratoga County Planning Board.

Supervisor Barrett responded that, as one of more than 20 members of the County Board of Supervisors, he is part of the body involved in appointing members to the Saratoga County Planning Board.

Councilwoman Reid stated that the situation was complex and described it as a difficult position for the Board. She clarified that her comments were not based on personal opinion but on what she believed was in the best interest of the Town. She expressed concern that Board members were receiving legal interpretations from members of the public and indicated uncertainty regarding the Town’s legal guidance on the matter. Councilwoman Reid noted that the Zoning Board of Appeals had entered executive session on the issue on at least two occasions and questioned why the Town Board had not similarly gone into executive session to consult with legal counsel regarding the matter.

Supervisor Barrett responded that the Zoning Board of Appeals had, in fact, gone into executive session twice the previous night.

Councilwoman Reid clarified that the Zoning Board of Appeals had entered executive session on multiple occasions, not only the previous night. She reiterated her concern that the Town Board was being asked to vote without what she considered sufficient internal legal discussion and expressed uncertainty about the consistency of legal guidance being received by different boards. She stated that, from her perspective, some of the legal advice being referenced by officials and the public appeared conflicting. Councilwoman Reid then sought confirmation that the matter before the Town Board was specifically a vote on the moratorium itself, rather than on any broader or substantially revised legislation.

Attorney Dailey confirmed that the matter before the Town Board was a vote on the moratorium itself and not on any additional or substantially revised legislation.

Councilwoman Reid thanked members of the public, including Anthony Morelli and Daniel Mathias, for their comments. She expressed frustration with the complexity of the matter and stated that she had received a large amount of information that she found conflicting. She reiterated concern that she was not receiving the level of legal guidance she felt was necessary for a matter of this significance. Councilwoman Reid stated that she did not want to lead the Town into an incorrect or legally vulnerable position.

Supervisor Barrett expressed concern that the proposed legislation had been changed between the initial public hearing and the continued hearing, stating that this reflected procedural issues and a failure to follow proper process. He emphasized that improper process places the Town in a legally vulnerable position. Supervisor Barrett stated that the handling of the application had been problematic and characterized recent actions by the Town as creating potential legal jeopardy. He referenced the recent Zoning Board of Appeals meeting and expressed disagreement with actions that, in his view, improperly delayed or restricted the applicant's ability to proceed through the review process. He argued that the Town must manage legal and procedural risk by following proper process and ensuring that applicants are not deprived of procedural rights. Supervisor Barrett stated that failure to do so could increase the Town's exposure to litigation. He urged the Board to discontinue what he described as a flawed approach, continue the environmental review process, and allow the application to proceed through normal channels. He stated that if litigation results, the Town would be in a stronger legal position if proper process is followed. Supervisor Barrett further asserted that continued procedural disputes and inconsistent legal interpretations increase liability for the Town. He concluded by advocating for completion of the environmental review and allowing the matter to proceed in a manner consistent with due process.

Resolution No.196 of 2026, a resolution to adopt a Local Law to establish a Dangerous Dog Registry for the Town of Clifton Park, New York

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, the Town of Clifton Park is committed to protecting the safety and well-being of its residents, their pets, and the broader community; and

WHEREAS, incidents involving dogs with a documented history of serious aggression pose a preventable risk to public safety when information about such animals is not readily accessible to residents, first responders, and local authorities; and

WHEREAS, the classification of an animal as a Dangerous Dog is assigned through a legal process and determined by the Court: and

WHEREAS, the creation of a centralized, publicly accessible Dangerous Dog Registry would provide clear, consistent, and transparent information regarding dogs that have been legally deemed dangerous and have a documented pattern of severe aggression or repeated violations; and

WHEREAS, such a registry would support enforcement of safety measures required of owners of Dangerous Dogs by the Court, including but not limited to secure enclosures, muzzling in public, mandatory training, and compliance with state and local regulations; and

WHEREAS, numerous municipalities across the United States—including Westchester County, New York—have implemented dangerous dog registries or similar oversight systems, demonstrating their feasibility and effectiveness in reducing preventable harm; and

WHEREAS, A Clifton Park public Dangerous Dog registry provides a successful New York State model by listing the Dangerous Dog's name, address, breed, physical description including weight, height and coloring, and the date the dog was deemed dangerous, thereby offering transparency and enhancing community awareness; and

WHEREAS, New York State General Municipal Law § 209-CC currently requires information about Dangerous Dog, as specified in the previous paragraph, be shared with first responders, underscoring the public safety importance of timely access to information; and

WHEREAS, residents of the Town of Clifton Park have experienced an increase in the number of bites and attacks by dogs in recent years with a growing number of dogs deemed Dangerous by the Courts, highlighting the urgent need for a public registry to prevent similar incidents and ensure that no other resident or pet endures avoidable harm; and

WHEREAS, owners of dogs deemed dangerous must notify the town clerk upon a change of address during the complete span of ownership of the dangerous dog; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board approves the creation and implementation of a Town of Clifton Park Dangerous Dog Registry, with records to be maintained by the Town Clerk's

Office and publicized on the Town's official website and updated regularly in coordination with law enforcement, animal control, and relevant municipal departments; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Board encourages clear procedures for reporting, documenting, and updating dangerous dog determinations, consistent with New York State law and best practices adopted by other municipalities; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Board affirms that establishing a Dangerous Dog Registry will promote public safety, enhance transparency, and support responsible pet ownership within the Town of Clifton Park; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Board adopt Local Law No. 8 of 2026, establishing a Dangerous Dog Registry.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett thanked speakers for their comments during the public hearing and specifically thanked Michael Sposili for his input and engagement on the issue, as well as for his suggested language regarding the dangerous dog registry. Supervisor Barrett reiterated that the existing “physical description” language was sufficient but stated that the intended details would be included within that definition. He confirmed that the dangerous dog registry would be made available on the Town’s website and indicated interest in further advancing the initiative. Supervisor Barrett then asked if there were any additional comments from the Board or public.

Councilwoman Reid made a motion to amend the proposed dangerous dog registry legislation to explicitly include breed, weight, height, and color within the “physical description” requirement. She stated that such details are commonly included in animal-related documentation and clarified that adding them would not negatively impact the legislation but would instead strengthen it and improve clarity.

Councilman Manir seconded.

ROLL CALL ON AMENDMENT VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED AMENDED

Resolution No.197 of 2026, a resolution to authorize conveyance of any interest the Town may have in a sewer line owned by Windsor Development to be conveyed to the Saratoga County Sewer District.

Introduced by Councilman Fantini, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilwoman Reid.

WHEREAS, Windsor Development owns a sewer line in the vicinity of Clifton Country Road which it desires to convey to the Saratoga County Sewer District, and

WHEREAS, the Town of Clifton Park may have an interest in this sewer line, or own property on which the sewer line is located, and

WHEREAS, it is the position of the Town that the sewer line should be owned by the Saratoga County Sewer District and would wish to facilitate the transfer of ownership of the sewer line as requested by Windsor Development; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Supervisor is authorized to sign a quit claim deed and to assign any easement rights (as they may appear) to a sewer line to the Saratoga County Sewer District.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett reported on an infrastructure matter involving a sewer line located generally between Staples and Wendy's. He explained that the sewer line should have been dedicated to the Saratoga County Sewer District in the late 1980s but was never formally transferred. He stated that the issue came to light during recent work by the property owner, who contacted him. Supervisor Barrett noted that the Saratoga County Sewer District has no objection to accepting the infrastructure, as it already owns the surrounding sewer system in the area. He explained that formal acceptance of the sewer line requires action by the Town Board.

Resolution No. 198 of 2026, a resolution accepting a proposal from KB Engineering, P.C. (Prime Engineering) for preparation of a New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation (NYSEFC) Water Infrastructure Improvement Act (WIIA) Grant and Loan Application, including Preliminary Engineering Report updates, for the Eastside Drive Water District Project, appropriating funds for said services, and authorizing the Town Supervisor to execute the agreement.

Introduced by Councilman Manir, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilwoman Reid

WHEREAS, the Town of Clifton Park has identified the need to advance the Eastside Drive Water District Project to provide public water service to residents in the project area; and

WHEREAS, the Town previously authorized the submission of a WIIA grant application for this project and seeks to pursue funding in the upcoming 2026 application cycle; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation (NYSEFC) requires submission of a complete and updated Water Infrastructure Improvement Act (WIIA) Grant and Financing Application, including supporting documentation and an updated Preliminary Engineering Report (PER); and

WHEREAS, KB Engineering, P.C. (also known as Prime Engineering), which previously prepared the Preliminary Engineering Report and WIIA application materials, has submitted a proposal dated June 15, 2026 to provide professional engineering services to update the PER, prepare and submit the WIIA grant and loan applications, and assist the Town in meeting all program requirements; and

WHEREAS, said proposal includes preparation of the full WIIA grant application, preparation of a WIIA loan application, and updating project costs and scheduling to meet current program requirements and the July 27, 2026 submission deadline; and

WHEREAS, the proposed services are to be provided for a lump sum fee in the amount of Five Thousand Seventy-Five Dollars (\$5,075.00), billed on a percent complete basis; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board finds that engaging KB Engineering, P.C. will ensure continuity of engineering services, timely preparation of application materials, and compliance with NYSEFC funding requirements, thereby enhancing the Town's ability to secure grant and loan funding for this critical infrastructure project; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby accepts the proposal dated June 15, 2026 from KB Engineering, P.C. for professional engineering services related to the preparation and

submission of the NYSEFC WIIA Grant and Loan Applications, including all necessary supporting documentation and updates to the Preliminary Engineering Report for the Eastside Drive Water District Project; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby authorizes the expenditure of funds in an amount not to exceed Five Thousand Seventy-Five Dollars (\$5,075.00) for the services described in said proposal, to be charged to the appropriate Town account; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Supervisor is hereby authorized and directed to execute the agreement with KB Engineering, P.C., including any associated documents necessary to effectuate the services described therein, subject to review and approval by the Town Attorney as to form and content; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Supervisor, Town staff, and consulting engineers are hereby authorized to take all actions necessary to complete and submit the WIIA Grant and Loan Applications and to pursue all available funding for the Eastside Drive Water District Project through NYSEFC and any other applicable funding programs.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett stated that a resolution passed at the previous meeting was inadequate and did not allow the matter to move forward. He explained that, after not making progress over the past couple of weeks, he requested that John Scavo prepare a revised resolution. He noted that there is a limited timeframe to submit a related grant application, as discussed at the prior meeting. Supervisor Barrett stated that the revised resolution is intended to correct deficiencies in the earlier version and allow the project to proceed.

Resolution No. 199 of 2026, a resolution accepting dedication of sewer/utility easements from Abele Builders, Inc. on Wellington Court.

Introduced by Councilman Manir, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilwoman Reid.

WHEREAS, Abele Builders, Inc., wishes to dedicate certain sanitary sewer infrastructure, including pipes, conduits and sewer pumps within the Wellington Court Subdivision, to the Town of Clifton Park for sewer purposes, and

WHEREAS, the grantors also wish to convey permanent easements to access, repair, replace and test all infrastructure conveyed to the Town, and

WHEREAS, Mike O'Brien, Collection Systems Manager, has inspected and examined the infrastructure to be dedicated, and recommends acceptance of the offer of dedication, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board agrees to accept the dedication of sanitary sewer improvements and easements; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby accepts the dedication of certain sanitary sewer improvements and easements, per the attached, subject to final review of deeds, and related transfer documents by the Town Attorney.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

No one wished to be heard.

Resolution No. 200 of 2026, a resolution scheduling a public hearing to consider a proposed Local Law establishing a 180-day moratorium on the acceptance, review and approval of Data Storage Center applications.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Clifton Park has prepared a draft local law proposing the enactment of a 180-day moratorium on the filing, acceptance, processing, review, and approval of applications for Data Storage Centers within the Town in order to allow sufficient time to evaluate potential impacts on infrastructure, utilities, environmental resources, and land use compatibility; and

WHEREAS, the proposed moratorium is intended to provide the Town Board with the opportunity to review and, if necessary, update the Town's zoning regulations, including use classifications, bulk and area requirements, and performance standards applicable to Data Storage Centers; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board desires to solicit public comment on the proposed Local Law and must therefore schedule and conduct a formal public hearing in accordance with applicable law and established Town procedures; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Clifton Park shall conduct a Public Hearing on July 7, 2026, at 7:05 p.m. in the Wood Memorial Meeting Room, Town Hall, One Town Hall Plaza, Clifton Park, New York, for the purpose of receiving public comment on the proposed Local Law establishing a 180-day moratorium on Data Storage Centers; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to prepare and publish a Notice of Public Hearing in the Times Union, the official newspaper of the Town of Clifton Park, in the manner and within the time period required by law; and be it further

RESOLVED, that a copy of the proposed Local Law shall be made available for public inspection in the Town Clerk's Office and on the Town's website prior to the date of the Public Hearing; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Councilwoman Reid stated that facilities of this type are becoming an increasing concern nationwide and explained that the proposed moratorium is intended to give the Town time to review zoning regulations and evaluate whether such uses are appropriate within the community. She noted that similar legislation had been considered at the state level but, to her knowledge, had not yet been enacted or signed into law, and she emphasized that she did not want the Town

to rely on state action to address the issue. Councilwoman Reid added that there is no specific application currently pending, and stated that the purpose of the moratorium is to address the issue proactively before any new proposals move forward.

Supervisor Barrett stated that there was no immediate urgency regarding the matter and noted that a potential state-level moratorium could further extend timelines. He commented that, based on geography and infrastructure needs, he was uncertain whether data centers would find suitable locations within the Town of Clifton Park. He added that it is appropriate for the Town to proactively study emerging industries, including battery energy storage systems, solar energy, and other developing technologies, as part of ongoing planning efforts. Supervisor Barrett thanked the sponsor of the initiative for moving it forward. He also expressed concern about potential statewide restrictions on data centers, stating that such policies could limit economic opportunities in New York. He referenced prior state actions on issues such as hydraulic fracturing and contrasted New York's approach with Pennsylvania, which he described as more favorable to energy development and data center investment. Supervisor Barrett concluded by expressing hope that New York would pursue more competitive policies for emerging industries, while noting skepticism that such changes would occur.

Councilman Manir questioned whether the Town should wait for potential action by New York State regarding a possible moratorium, noting that the State was expected to hold a public hearing and could impose its own restrictions. He suggested that waiting might allow the Town to better align with or extend timelines based on state-level decisions. He asked whether the Town Board should proceed on July 7 or consider timing the local action to coincide with anticipated State developments.

Councilwoman Reid stated that she did not want the Town to rely on the State to address the issue and reiterated her preference for the Town to act independently rather than waiting for state-level action.

Councilman Manir stated that if the Town proceeds with adopting a moratorium, the Board would need to clearly explain its details during the public hearing process. He noted that the rationale and supporting information for the moratorium would need to be fully presented and documented for the record.

Councilwoman Reid clarified that scheduling a public hearing does not equate to enacting the moratorium itself. She stated that the moratorium could be considered and adopted at a later date, and noted that its duration could extend beyond 180 days if necessary.

Councilwoman Bellamy stated that other states which have permitted data center development have expressed regret about doing so, suggesting that such facilities have led to negative outcomes and concerns in those jurisdictions.

Councilman Manir stated that he is generally in agreement with the proposal but expressed concern about timing. He questioned whether the Town should act too early versus waiting for additional information or developments. He also suggested that if the Town proceeds, it could consider extending the moratorium duration beyond the typical 180 days, potentially up to one year.

Supervisor Barrett stated that many newly approved data centers incorporate water recycling systems, reducing concerns about water usage. He further noted that such facilities often contribute additional energy to local grids, potentially supplying excess power beyond their operational needs. He expressed the view that there is significant misinformation regarding data centers and reiterated that, in his opinion, Clifton Park is unlikely to be a suitable location for such a facility.

Councilman Fantini stated that data centers often require substantial power and may need to use temporary generators when sufficient grid capacity is not available. He expressed concern that these generators may not undergo the same level of environmental review and could introduce potential hazards to nearby residents and surrounding areas. He stated his support for Councilwoman Reid's proposed resolution and thanked her for bringing it forward.

Supervisor Barrett responded that he did not believe data centers rely on temporary generators for extended periods of time.

Resolution No. 201 of 2026, a resolution to accept Clifton Park Rotary's Peace Pole Project at Town Center Park.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, Clifton Park Rotary (formerly Shenendehowa Rotary) presented and discussed its proposed Peace Pole Project for Town Center Park with the Town of Clifton Park Open Space, Trails, and Riverfront Committee and Stewardship Subcommittee for consideration and agreement as a community benefit as compatible to the Town Center Master Plan adopted by the Town Board in 2020, and as an amenity for the Town Center Park; and

WHEREAS, Clifton Park Rotary brought a Peace Pole example and presented the project concept to the Town Board at the June 2, 2026 meeting; and

WHEREAS, each Peace Pole would be inscribed with the message "May Peace Prevail on Earth" in the languages that represent the Clifton Park community, as a reminder that we all desire to live in peace, with up to two poles being considered to allow for more languages to be included; and

WHEREAS, the Town Center Park offers a central location connecting the community in the Town Center area of town, and with pedestrian use by all ages, it was identified as a desirable site by Rotary for the visibility for this message of peace; and

WHEREAS, the proposal has been reviewed by the Director of Buildings, Parks, and Recreation for placing in Town Center Park, with a field visit to consider and identify appropriate locations; and

WHEREAS, Clifton Park Rotary proposes to provide the up to two (2) actual Peace Pole(s) to the Town of Clifton Park for installation under town direction, and will help maintain and replace the Peace Poles if needed in the future; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town of Clifton Park Town Board approves the Clifton Park Rotary's Clifton Park Peace Pole Project, and accepts up to two (2) Peace Poles for placement at Town Center Park; and further, directs the Director of Buildings, Parks, and Recreation and Town staff to install the Peace Poles at Town Center Park.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett thanked the Rotary Club for attending the previous Town Board meeting and presenting a peace pole. He noted that they demonstrated the size and appearance of the installation and suggested potential locations for placement. He stated that the Rotary Club will work with the Buildings and Grounds department regarding installation and expressed appreciation for their efforts and donation.

Resolution No. 202 of 2026, a resolution authorizing the purchase of mosquito dunks for use by Town residents.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, the Town has an ongoing mosquito-borne illnesses preventative program and dunks are needed to continue the program, and

WHEREAS, Scott Reese, Stormwater Management Officer, has requested authorization to purchase 60 cases of mosquito dunks, 24 dunks in each case for distribution to Town residents, and

WHEREAS, quotes were solicited for the purchase of dunks and the lowest quote was received from Summit Chemical in Baltimore, Maryland, for \$47.28 per case, and

WHEREAS, Scott Reese has recommended that the quote of Summit Chemical be accepted in an amount of \$2,836.80 for 60 cases of dunks; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the quote from Summit Chemical, in an amount not to exceed \$2,836.80, is hereby accepted for the purchase of 60 cases of mosquito dunks, to be paid from A-4010-140 (General Fund - Community Services Equipment- Special Projects).

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett reported that the Town has been distributing mosquito dunks to residents for several years. He noted that the program remains popular and that the Town continues to receive ongoing requests for them. Supervisor Barrett stated that there is both proper and improper use of mosquito dunks. He explained that residents who receive them are provided with instructional information outlining correct usage and appropriate applications.

Resolution No. 203 of 2026, a resolution authorizing the Supervisor to execute a Rights-of-Way Agreement with Capital District YMCA — Southern Saratoga Branch for the placement of a freestanding sign and related facilities within certain Town rights-of-way, subject to Town approval.

Introduced by Councilman Manir, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilwoman Reid.

WHEREAS, Capital District YMCA — Southern Saratoga Branch ("YMCA") seeks to utilize portions of the Town of Clifton Park public rights-of-way ("Rights-of-Way") for the installation of a freestanding sign and flagpoles to provide identification and wayfinding to the public; and

WHEREAS, such Facilities may include a freestanding sign, flagpoles, and associated equipment, structures, appurtenances, and improvements; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board recognizes that such signage will assist residents and visitors in locating community services and enhance public access to YMCA facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Town wishes to allow such use of its Rights-of-Way in a manner that is consistent with its rights-of-way management regulations and all applicable local, state, and federal laws; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Rights-of-Way Agreement provides for a Town permit process on individual locations, ensuring Town review and approval of design, placement, and compliance on a case-by-case basis; and

WHEREAS, the agreement establishes terms including a ten (10) year initial term with automatic renewals, insurance requirements, indemnification provisions, and a nominal permit fee to offset administrative costs; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Supervisor is hereby authorized to execute a Rights-of-Way Agreement with Capital District YMCA — Southern Saratoga Branch, in a form substantially similar to that presented to the Town Board, for the installation, maintenance, and operation of a freestanding sign and related Facilities within the Town's Rights-of-Way.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir, Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett reported that he has been working with the YMCA and Scott Reese to finalize details for an agreement. He stated that a right-of-way agreement between the Town and the YMCA is required and that the proposed resolution would authorize him to execute it. He noted that the YMCA has completed renovations and is seeking to install a new sign at the front of the facility to improve visibility for residents and visitors. Supervisor Barrett stated that the YMCA continues to expand services and provides valuable community programs. He explained that the agreement would allow installation and maintenance of a free-standing sign and related facilities, with an initial term of 10 years and automatic renewals. He added that the agreement includes insurance and indemnification requirements to protect the Town, as well as a nominal permit fee for administrative review and processing. Supervisor Barrett stated that the YMCA is supportive of the agreement and expressed hope that it will be executed to assist the organization.

Resolution No. 204 of 2026, a resolution accepting funds from Anita Daly and authorizing the Department of Building & Grounds to coordinate with the Clifton Park Water Authority (CPWA) to install a new fire hydrant near the Robinwood Estates entrance sign.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, Robinwood Estates resident Anita Daly has offered a donation for the installation of a fire hydrant in Robinwood Estates near the Bruno Road entrance sign, and

WHEREAS, Robinwood Estates is located in the Dwaaskill Park District, and the Park District has approved the installation of the new fire hydrant and has agreed to pay the balance of the cost, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, operating as commissioners of the Dwaaskill Park District, wishes to accept the donation from Ms. Daly to offset the cost of the new fire hydrant, with the remaining balance to be paid by the Park District, and

WHEREAS, Daniel Clemens, Director of Buildings, Parks & Recreation, advises that the CPWA submitted a quote for the supply and installation of the new fire hydrant, at a cost not to exceed \$1,861; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Comptroller is authorized to increase revenues to SP4-02705 (Dwaaskill Park District - Gifts & Donations) by \$560.46, and increase expenditures in SP4-7135-200 (Dwaaskill Park District – Robinwood Estates – Equipment) by \$1,861, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the assigned fund balance of the Dwaaskill Park District be increased by \$1,301, SP4- 00915; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Director of Buildings, Parks & Recreation is authorized to accept the quote of the Clifton Park Water Authority for the supply and installation of a new fire hydrant, to be installed in Robinwood Estates at the Bruno Road entrance sign, at a cost not to exceed \$1,861.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir, Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett stated that the Town had received a couple of donations for consideration at the meeting and indicated that one additional donation was expected. He expressed appreciation for all donations received by the Town.

Councilwoman Bellamy stated that she spoke with Anita. She explained that Anita had previously been allowed by the former residents of a corner house to use their water to maintain flowers at a nearby intersection. Since the home has been sold, Anita has been transporting water from her own home to continue caring for the flowers. Councilwoman Bellamy noted that Anita would likely be appreciative of the Town's support regarding this matter.

Resolution No. 205 of 2026, a resolution accepting funds from the Vistas Home Owners Association (HOA) and authorizing the Department of Building & Grounds to coordinate with the Clifton Park Water Authority (CPWA) to install a new fire hydrant on Vista Court.

Introduced by Councilman Manir, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilwoman Reid.

WHEREAS, the Vistas HOA has offered a donation of \$1,360.46 for the installation of a fire hydrant in on Vista Court, and

WHEREAS, the Vistas is located in the Sherwood Forest Park District, and the Park District has approved the installation of the new fire hydrant and has agreed to pay the balance of the cost, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, operating as commissioners of the Sherwood Forest Park District, wishes to accept the donation from the Vistas HOA to offset the cost of the new fire hydrant, with the remaining balance to be paid by the Park District, and

WHEREAS, Daniel Clemens, Director of Buildings, Parks & Recreation, advises that the CPWA submitted a quote for the supply and installation of the new fire hydrant, at a cost not to exceed \$2,260.46; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Comptroller is authorized to increase revenues to SP8-02705 (Sherwood Forest Park District - Gifts & Donations) by \$1,360.46, and increase expenditures in SP8-7125-200 (Sherwood Forest Park District – Vistas – Equipment) by \$2,260.46, and be it further

RESOLVED, that an additional transfer is authorized from assigned fund balance of the Sherwood Forest Park District of \$900, SP8- 00915; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Director of Buildings, Parks & Recreation is authorized to accept the quote of the Clifton Park Water Authority for the supply and installation of a new fire hydrant, to be installed in the Vistas at Vista Court, at a cost not to exceed \$2,260.46.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir, Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

No one wished to heard.

Resolution No. 206 of 2026, a resolution establishing a pay rate of time and a half for Part-time Security Officers scheduled to work the day of the Town's July 4th holiday celebration.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, Part-time Security Officers are required to work the July 4th holiday to help ensure the safety of all who attend the Town celebration, and

WHEREAS, the Town derives a benefit from having trained Part-time Security Officers in attendance at the Town's July 4th celebration; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that Part-time Security Officers scheduled to work the day of the Town's July 4th celebration, shall be paid a pay rate of time and a half.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

No one wished to heard.

Resolution No. 207 of 2026, a resolution correcting the cost of five (5) plow truck chassis purchased by the Highway Department.

Introduced by Councilman Manir, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilwoman Reid.

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 66 of 2022, the purchase of five (5) chassis for five (5) single axle development plow trucks from H.L. Gage of Albany, NY under a 2018 Onondaga County Contract #8996, was approved at a cost not to exceed \$96,286.90 per truck, and

WHEREAS, Highway Superintendent, Dahn Bull, advises that the five (5) chassis are nearly ready for delivery, however, due to the delay in the delivery, the actual cost of each of the five (5) chassis will be \$105,334.22 even though efforts were made to fight the cost increases, for a total increase in cost of \$45,237, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Bull recommends accepting this updated cost from H.L. Gage of Albany, NY to avoid any further extended delays by starting over with the order; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the Town Board authorizes the Highway Superintendent to accept the price increase in a total not to exceed \$45,237, for the five (5) single axle development plow truck chassis, originally approved by Resolution No. 66 of 2022, to be paid with a transfer from assigned fund balance DA-915 to DA-05130-00219 (Highway Fund – Highway Machinery – Highway Vehicle).

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Dahn Bull Superintendent of Highways reported on delays and cost adjustments related to plow truck purchases ordered in 2022. He explained that due to supply chain disruptions following COVID-19, the truck chassis were not built until 2024, resulting in price adjustments. He noted that similar delays continue to affect municipal equipment procurement, as emergency and first responder needs often take priority in manufacturing and allocation. Dahn Bull added that even current orders for chassis are still facing lead times of approximately two to three years as the supply chain continues to recover.

Councilwoman Reid asked whether there was an existing contract in place that would require vendors to honor the originally quoted price.

Dahn Bull explained that the vendor provides a percentage discount on plow truck purchases rather than guaranteeing a fixed contract price. He stated that because the trucks were ultimately built in 2024 instead of 2022, the Town is receiving 2024 model-year equipment under the original discounted arrangement. He noted that discussions had occurred about canceling and reissuing orders, but doing so would have significantly increased costs. He stated that while the Town is experiencing an approximate \$9,000 increase per chassis, current market prices for similar trucks have risen substantially—by roughly \$90,000 to \$100,000 compared to 2022 pricing. Dahn Bull concluded that by maintaining the original orders and exercising patience, the Town avoided significantly higher expenses that would have resulted from reordering under current pricing.

Resolution No. 208 of 2026, a resolution authorizing sliplining of damaged storm water pipes under portions of streets in seven (7) Town vocations, to be performed by Precision Trenchless of Schenectady.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, Highway Superintendent Dahn Bull has-requested-authorization to accept a proposal for sliplining process to be performed on storm water pipes under portions of seven (7) Town streets, as they are in treacherous areas or are too deep to replace cost effectively, for a total cost of \$243,831, and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 48 of 2021, the Town Board adopted Procurement Policy No. 16, which allows that the Town, at its discretion and following the guidelines set forth in General Municipal Law§ 103, may procure goods (including apparatus, materials, equipment and supplies) and services by "piggy backing" through publicly bid contracts of another municipality, and

WHEREAS, Precision Trenchless of Schenectady has performed the sliplining process for the Town on several occasions and is currently under contract #0000005626 with Onondaga County, valid through 12/31/2027, for sliplining processes, and

WHEREAS, Highway Superintendent Dahn Bull has advised that the services provided by Precision Trenchless will meet the needs of the Highway Department with pricing available through piggy backing on its contract with Onondaga County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Highway Department is authorized to accept the proposal from Precision Trenchless of Schenectady, per the attached, in an amount not to exceed \$243,831; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Comptroller is authorized to transfer from Assigned Fund Balance, DA-00914, \$43,831 to DA-05110-00037 (Highway Fund — General Repairs - Slip Lining Pipes), which will cover the total cost of \$243,831.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Dahn Bull Superintendent of Highways described the Town's annual slip lining program, noting that approximately \$200,000 to \$250,000 is spent each year on the work. He explained that slip lining is used to rehabilitate deep sewer pipes where open-cut trenching would be difficult, costly, or disruptive. He stated that many of the projects involve pipelines located on or near private properties, where additional excavation would require significant disruption to land features such as barns, fences, trees, and shrubs. Dahn Bull emphasized that slip lining allows the Town to complete necessary infrastructure repairs with minimal impact to surrounding properties. He added that these projects are typically identified and planned during seasonal inspections in the spring and winter.

Resolution No. 209 of 2026, a resolution authorizing the correction of the pay rates for Head Lifeguards and the hiring of Lifeguards and Water Safety Instructors for the 2026 season.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 146 of 2026 and Resolution No. 193 of 2026, Megan Babendreier, Director of Parks & Recreation, was authorized to hire Pool and Camp Staff for the 2026 season, and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Babendreier wishes to make pay rate adjustments for Head Lifeguards of the Lifeguards hired in Resolution No. 146 and Resolution No. 193, and to hire new Lifeguards, as detailed on the attached Schedule A, and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Babendreier also recommends hiring Water Safety Instructors for the 2026 season, detailed on the attached Schedule B; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board authorizes the correction of the pay rates for Head Lifeguards and the hiring of new Lifeguards and Water Safety Instructors, as noted in the attached Schedule A and B, effective immediately.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

Megan Babendreier Director of Parks & Recreation reported that the Town's aquatic programs are currently very busy. She stated that the department is actively hiring new lifeguards and water safety instructors to support upcoming swim lessons scheduled to begin in a few weeks. She noted that a new water safety instructor class is being held, and several candidates are expected to complete certification. She added that staffing levels are closely tied to successful completion of required training and certifications.

Supervisor Barrett stated that individuals taking the water safety instructor class typically already have prior experience and are developing their skills further through the training process. He noted that staffing levels remain in good condition. He also commented on recent pool activity, stating that the facilities had experienced a busy few days but cooler weather had temporarily reduced attendance. Supervisor Barrett expressed optimism that warmer weather would return soon and concluded his remarks by welcoming attendees.

Resolution No.210 of 2026, a resolution certifying Retirement days for elected and appointed officials.

Introduced by Councilman Manir, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilwoman Reid.

WHEREAS, it is necessary to establish standard workdays for elected and appointed officials to be reported to the New York State and Local Employees' Retirement System based on the record of activities maintained; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby established standard workdays for the elected and appointed officials detailed on the attached Schedule A.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid, Supervisor Barrett

Noes: None

DECLARED ADOPTED

No one wished to heard.

Resolution No. 211 of 2026, a resolution appointing Nicole Richard as Deputy Town Clerk.

Introduced by Councilman Manir, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Fantini.

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 173 of 2026, the Town Board has authorized the creation of a third Deputy Town Clerk position in the office of the Town Clerk, based on the recommendation of the Town Clerk, and

WHEREAS, Caitlin Fantini, Town Clerk, has conducted interviews and recommends hiring Nicole Richard, of Clifton Park, NY, for the position; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that Nicole Richard, is hereby appointed to the position of Deputy Town Clerk, effective June 22, 2026, pursuant to New York State Town Law 64 (5), to be paid at Grade 6, Step 1, \$33.50/hr.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid

Noes: Supervisor Barrett

DECLARED ADOPTED

Supervisor Barrett raised concerns regarding a recently created position in the Clerk's office, noting that he had previously questioned Councilman Fantini about voting on the matter due to a potential conflict of interest involving a family relationship. He referenced guidance and opinions from the State Comptroller's office and cited general ethics principles stating that public officials should disclose relationships and recuse themselves from discussions and votes where a spouse is directly involved, particularly in matters related to appointment, compensation, or oversight responsibilities. Supervisor Barrett stated that even where not strictly required by a local ethics code, recusal is recommended to avoid the appearance of impropriety and to protect the integrity of municipal decision-making. He expressed his view that participation in such votes could create legal and ethical vulnerabilities for the Town. He concluded by emphasizing the importance of proper process and stated that the matter should be handled in a way that avoids potential conflicts and ensures compliance with applicable ethics standards.

Attorney Dailey responded to Supervisor Barrett's concerns regarding a potential conflict of interest. He stated that the situation cited by Supervisor Barrett involved a different context, specifically a fire district scenario where an appointed position was being filled by a relative. He distinguished that example from the current situation, explaining that in this case the individuals involved are separately elected officials serving in distinct offices. While acknowledging that a

marital relationship exists and is publicly known, he emphasized that the offices themselves are independent and separately elected. Attorney Dailey concluded that, in his opinion, there is no conflict of interest under these circumstances and stated that it is appropriate for the town board to proceed with voting on the creation of the position.

Councilman Fantini stated that it is his responsibility as a member of the Town Board to ensure that all Town departments are properly staffed, including the Town Clerk's office, which he described as a Town Board department. He noted that the Board has received legal counsel from the Town Attorney indicating that the action in question is not improper. He added a critical remark directed at Supervisor Barrett, referencing his upcoming retirement and suggesting he could pursue a law degree afterward.

Supervisor Barrett responded to Councilman Fantini, stating that he would not have "plenty of time" after retirement and that he expects to remain busy. He clarified that his prior comments were not merely his personal opinion but rather were based on referenced guidance and interpretations he had cited earlier in the discussion. He also referred to Councilman Fantini as "sweetpea" during his remarks while making this response. Supervisor Barrett stated that he was relying on a legal opinion referenced by the state comptroller's office. He described the opinion as closely analogous to the situation being discussed, noting that it involved a similar type of spousal or familial relationship within a governing body. He emphasized that, in his view, the legal opinion clearly applied to the circumstances at hand and that the relationship involved was materially similar to the one described in the guidance he cited. He acknowledged that the individuals involved are separately elected officials but argued that the underlying relationship still raised concerns under the cited ethics interpretation. Supervisor Barrett further stated that the opinion should be taken seriously and expressed concern that proceeding otherwise could expose the Town to legal risk. He concluded by stating that the Board should make its own decision but reiterated that he believed continuing without recusal would create potential legal jeopardy.

Councilman Manir thanked Supervisor Barrett for raising the issue and stated that he appreciated the concerns being brought forward. He indicated that, in his view, Councilman Fantini understands the implications of his vote and would proceed accordingly based on his own judgment. Councilman Manir suggested that the board move forward with a vote on the matter and stated that any legal concerns raised by Councilman Fantini regarding his participation would be his own responsibility to address.

Councilman Fantini stated that he did not appreciate being referred to as "sweet pea." He also objected to references made regarding the Town Clerk, emphasizing that she is an elected official in her own right with her own name and professional role. He expressed concern that the comments made during the discussion were disrespectful toward women and characterized them as inappropriate and disgraceful. He stated that those in attendance were able to observe what he described as disrespectful conduct during the meeting.

Supervisor Barrett stated, "You argue with an idiot long enough, people can't tell the difference." He also stated that he refers to his spouse as his "wife" and questioned what the issue was with that reference. He then stated, "Go ahead and vote for it. Go ahead. What you're going to do."

COMMUNICATIONS

Councilman Manir referenced Resolution Number Six (200 of 2026) and suggested extending the moratorium period from six months to one year. He stated that he would like to make a **motion to amend** the resolution to reflect a one-year duration.

Councilwoman Reid seconded the motion and clarified that Resolution Number Six is specifically tied to setting a public hearing for a six-month moratorium. She stated that if the Board were to consider enacting a one-year moratorium instead, a separate public hearing would be required.

Supervisor Barrett stated that a public hearing would be required to extend the moratorium.

Councilwoman Reid stated that the Board could proceed by enacting a six-month moratorium and then hold a separate public hearing at the end of that period to consider extending it. She suggested instead that the Board simply proceed with a one-year duration.

Supervisor Barrett stated that, for the sake of order and procedure, the board had previously voted on a resolution that included attached legislation. He referenced the need to return to proper process in the discussion.

Attorney Dailey stated that there is no legislation associated with the matter at hand and that no legislation has been produced. Attorney Dailey stated that, prior to issuing a notice of public hearing, there should generally be legislation prepared. He noted that he had not been requested to prepare any such legislation and stated that this was the first time he had heard the details discussed. He added that although he had seen the matter advertised, he was not familiar with any prepared legislation related to it.

Councilwoman Reid stated that a resolution request had been circulated to create the resolution being discussed. She asked what other department would be responsible for drafting the legislation if not the Town Attorney.

Attorney Dailey stated that he was unclear about what was intended by the request, noting that while a public hearing was being discussed, no specific legislation or details had been provided. He stated that the nature of the proposed legislation had not been described to him and emphasized that he would need to be contacted with clear direction on what was being proposed.

Councilwoman Reid stated that she questioned the expectation that she should contact the Town Attorney for clarification, asking whether the Town Attorney should instead be the one to reach out for information when there are questions about the matter being proposed. Councilwoman Reid stated that she believed the Town Attorney, who works for the Town Board, would be responsible for drafting the legislation associated with the proposal.

Attorney Dailey stated that he had not been requested to take any action or prepare any materials related to the matter being discussed.

Councilwoman Reid stated that a resolution request had been submitted regarding the matter being discussed.

Attorney Dailey stated that he would be happy to discuss the matter further and emphasized the importance of working together as a team to move items forward and get things done.

Councilman Manir stated that, in past practice, resolution documents were prepared prior to public hearings and that coordination between the proposing council member and the Town Attorney's office typically occurred to draft the necessary materials. He said that, in his view, responsibility for requesting legal assistance rests with the individual introducing a resolution, including reaching out for drafting support when needed. He added that he personally would contact legal counsel directly if he required assistance preparing legislation. Councilman Manir stated that although a resolution had already been voted on, his intent was only to propose extending the moratorium duration from six months to one year, noting there was still time before the July 7 public hearing to prepare the appropriate language. He concluded by questioning whether there was any disconnect in the process being described.

Councilwoman Reid stated that she did not write the resolution that was voted on that evening and did not request that it be drafted. She asked who prepared the resolution and referenced the version listed on the agenda.

Councilwoman Reid stated that the resolution in question was a "resolution request" submitted on May 26 at 12:38 p.m. and distributed to the full Town Board and the Town Attorney's office. She explained that, under standard procedure, once a resolution request is submitted, legislation is drafted and placed on the agenda, which she noted occurred at the meeting. She further stated that a memorandum had also been sent to the planning and zoning department requesting a redraft of a local law to be finalized by the Town Board and Town Attorney's office. She indicated that she believed the Town Attorney would have received this material as well. Councilwoman Reid referenced that the draft included standard sections such as definitions,

moratorium provisions, applicability, exemptions, hardship relief, duration, SEQRA compliance, severability, and effective date. She stated that she did not understand what additional information was needed and questioned why she was not contacted if further clarification was required.

Attorney Dailey stated that he did not know why additional information had not been provided with the proposal. He added that there was still sufficient time to prepare the necessary legislation and have it published before the July 7 meeting. He concluded by stating that he would be working on the matter.

Councilman Manir reiterated that his motion was to extend the proposed data center moratorium from six months to one year. He explained that his reasoning was based on the fact that New York State was also considering a moratorium on data centers, and the outcome of that process was uncertain. He stated that if the state's action addressed the issue, the town would benefit, and if not, a one-year local moratorium would provide additional time. He further stated that he understood the legal concerns being discussed but believed there was sufficient time before the public hearing to prepare the appropriate legislation. He emphasized that the matter before the board was only a proposal to schedule a public hearing and not the enactment of the moratorium itself.

Councilwoman Reid asked whether the notice of the public hearing, as posted by the Town Clerk, must include the proposed legislation. She sought confirmation that the proposed legislation is required to accompany the public hearing notice when it is posted.

Town Clerk Fantini responded that the proposed legislation is not included in the notice submitted to the newspaper. She explained that the proposed legislation is included in the materials posted online, in the meeting packet, and on the Town's website.

Resolution No. 200 of 2026, a resolution scheduling a public hearing to consider a proposed Local Law establishing a 12-month moratorium on the acceptance, review and approval of Data Storage Center applications.

Introduced by Councilwoman Reid, who moved its adoption, seconded by Councilman Manir.

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Clifton Park has prepared a draft local law proposing the enactment of a 12-month moratorium on the filing, acceptance, processing, review, and approval of applications for Data Storage Centers within the Town in order to allow sufficient time to evaluate potential impacts on infrastructure, utilities, environmental resources, and land use compatibility; and

WHEREAS, the proposed moratorium is intended to provide the Town Board with the opportunity to review and, if necessary, update the Town's zoning regulations, including use classifications, bulk and area requirements, and performance standards applicable to Data Storage Centers; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board desires to solicit public comment on the proposed Local Law and must therefore schedule and conduct a formal public hearing in accordance with applicable law and established Town procedures; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of Clifton Park shall conduct a Public Hearing on July 7, 2026, at 7:05 p.m. in the Wood Memorial Meeting Room, Town Hall, One Town Hall Plaza, Clifton Park, New York, for the purpose of receiving public comment on the proposed Local Law establishing a 12-month moratorium on Data Storage Centers; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to prepare and publish a Notice of Public Hearing in the Times Union, the official newspaper of the Town of Clifton Park, in the manner and within the time period required by law; and be it further

RESOLVED, that a copy of the proposed Local Law shall be made available for public inspection in the Town Clerk's Office and on the Town's website prior to the date of the Public Hearing; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

ROLL CALL VOTE ON AMENDMENT

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid

Noes: Supervisor Barrett

DECLARED ADOPTED

ROLL CALL VOTE

Ayes: Councilwoman Bellamy, Councilman Fantini, Councilman Manir,
Councilwoman Reid

Noes: Supervisor Barrett

DECLARED ADOPTED

Councilwoman Reid requested an update on the status of the proposed parking project at the Senior Community Center, noting that the matter had been mentioned several times during the meeting.

Councilwoman Bellamy stated that there had not been any significant progress on the Senior Community Center parking project. She reported that the Tree Committee had reviewed the trees in the proposed project area and determined that they were not of such significance that they could not be removed. She added that the Tree Committee recommended planting replacement trees better suited to the site, including species that would provide shade for the parking lot and be more tolerant of road salt.

Supervisor Barrett stated that he believed the Tree Committee had previously indicated it was agreeable to removing the large trees associated with the proposed Senior Community Center parking project, referencing comments made at the prior meeting.

Councilwoman Bellamy stated that she had read the Tree Committee's recommendation into the record but had not directed anyone to begin removing any of the trees.

Councilwoman Reid stated that she believed the resolution previously adopted was for the planning and design of the Senior Community Center parking lot project.

Councilman Manir clarified that the question was whether any steps had been taken on planning the Senior Community Center parking project since the previous meeting. He stated that he had spoken with the Town Attorney and the Senior Center Director, Susan, and intended to visit the site before forming an opinion on the project. He added that, to his understanding, the project was still in the planning stage and asked that work on it continue.

Councilwoman Bellamy stated that she had been working with a tree service during the week at her own home, and noted that several trees had been removed, at an approximate cost of \$3,000 per tree. She commented on the scale of the work involved and expressed that managing tree removal is a significant task, noting the large number of trees involved.

Supervisor Barrett stated that the plan being discussed could not be done. Supervisor Barrett clarified that he was not referring to tree planting, but to the creation of parking spaces. He stated that implementing the plan would require the removal of a substantial number of large trees. He added that there were multiple reasons why the project could not proceed as proposed, in addition to the cost involved.

Councilman Manir stated that a plan could be developed and then presented to the town board for consideration. He reiterated that he intended to visit the site to better understand the project before forming a full opinion.

Councilman Fantini stated that a female speaker earlier in the meeting had noted concerns about conditions during winter and bad weather, specifically mentioning that the grass becomes muddy.

Councilwoman Reid stated that concerns had also been raised about vehicles parking on the grass in the area. She suggested that an alternative approach could be to pave the area already being used for parking rather than removing a large number of mature trees and undertaking extensive grading and infrastructure work. She noted that several options were still being considered.

PUBLIC PRIVILEGE 9:39 P.M.

Councilman Fantini requested that during public privilege, the quorum rules and meeting decorum be enforced. He stated that public hearings are not intended for personal attacks or back-and-forth exchanges.

Brady Ozimek of Tracey Court addressed Councilman Fantini and asked whether he had ever made personal attacks.

Councilman Fantini responded to the speaker, stating that he would appreciate it if comments remained focused and indicated that a question had been asked.

Brady Ozimek asked whether Council members had previously voted in favor of Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) and contrasted those systems with data storage centers, stating his view that BESS projects present a higher fire risk. He then asked the Board rhetorically whether any members “enjoy being yelled at by residents,” and whether anyone on the Board would indicate so by a show of hands. He further questioned why the Board continues to take actions that, in his view, lead to public frustration at meetings. He concluded by directing a question to Councilman Manir, asking for their thoughts on the matter.

Councilman Manir stated that he would not be answering the questions posed and invited the speaker to continue.

Brady Ozimek stated that he believed his previous questions had been answered and then shifted to asking how many new clerks had been hired, or how many raises had been issued, within the Clerk’s office over the past six months. He asked the Board to estimate or provide a rough number.

Supervisor Barrett stated that, following actions taken at the current meeting, two Clerks had been hired. He explained that one position resulted from the creation of a new role in the Clerk’s office by the Board majority at the prior meeting, and that another opening occurred due to an employee resignation. He added that one clerk had been hired at the previous meeting and another at the current meeting. Supervisor Barrett further stated that, during the prior discussion regarding the creation of the new position, information about a resignation in the Clerk’s office was not provided to the Town Board until the following week, and he believed that information should have been shared with the board at the time of the discussion.

Councilman Manir stated that, while two Clerks had been hired, one of the hires was a replacement, meaning there was effectively one new position filled.

Brady Ozimek asked whether either of the two recently hired clerks, or both of them, are notaries.

Town Clerk Fantini stated that neither of the newly hired Clerks is currently a notary. She added that both employees have timelines within which they are expected to obtain their notary commissions.

Brady Ozimek stated that there are approximately four clerks in the clerk's office and asked how many of them are notaries. Brady Ozimek stated that there is currently one notary in the clerk's office. He then questioned the board rhetorically, asking why members "enjoy being yelled at by residents" and suggesting that actions taken by the board contribute to confrontational public responses. He also referenced that elected officials are responsible for their decisions.

Attorney Dailey stated that, in his observation, the individuals who raise their voices during meetings tend to be the same residents each time. He noted that it is a small number of people who are consistently present and, in his view, appear to be repeatedly engaged in yelling during meetings.

Brady Ozimek responded by stating that different individuals attend meetings depending on the topic being discussed. He referenced the Windover Farm matter specifically, stating that different residents attended those meetings, and added that different people have been attending meetings since January depending on the issues being addressed.

Councilman Manir stated that the speaker had already asked his question and that no further response was required. He noted that Councilman Fantini had already addressed the question posed to him. Councilman Manir added that there was no need for additional defense or explanation from others and that the question had been answered.

Brady Ozimek stated that he perceived members of the Town Board as being defensive in their responses. He commented that this reaction, in his view, was evident during the meeting and suggested it reflected a defensive posture from certain Board Members.

Councilman Manir stated that he was not being defensive and emphasized that the speaker is given time during public comment to ask questions, which are then answered by the Board. He noted that this has occurred at multiple meetings. He added that while he disagreed with the tone of some of the comments being made, he acknowledged the speaker's abilities and expressed that he believes the individual is articulate and talented, suggesting they could have a successful future in public service or leadership roles. Councilman Manir stated that, in his view, questions raised had already been answered during the meeting and that no further discussion was necessary on those points.

Brady Ozimek stated that, in his view, a Broad and growing number of residents have attended Town meetings, estimating approximately 30 to 40 different individuals speaking at various times. He referenced prior meetings and noted that attendees include individuals who are not regular participants. He stated that community concern is increasing, citing social media and door-to-door conversations as indicators that residents are paying closer attention to Town decisions and may respond electorally in the future. He further stated that continued actions by the Board, in his opinion, are contributing to ongoing disputes and potential legal issues, and he urged the board to reconsider its approach, referencing the earlier comment about "putting the shovel away" and suggesting that current actions are increasing costs and litigation risk.

Caryl Hugg of Jonathan Drive stated that although she understood some may be tired of hearing opposition comments, she did not observe residents speaking in support of the matter. She then referenced prior discussion regarding the weight given to legal opinions, drawing a comparison between the Town Supervisor's role and the Town Attorney's role in legal interpretation. She read a description of the duties of the Town Attorney, stating that the role includes coordinating, initiating, and defending legal actions involving the town, as well as preparing legislation, town board resolutions, and local ordinances. Based on this description, she asked Attorney Dailey whether it is part of his responsibilities to prepare resolutions, noting that the information was taken from the town's website.

Anthony Morelli of Gloucester Street stated that the resolution related to the public hearing on the data center placed responsibility on the deputy supervisor and expressed concern about how the matter was handled, asserting that the resolution submission process should have been better coordinated. He then referenced prior discussion regarding a potential conflict of interest involving members of the town board and the Town Clerk's office. He stated that he intended to revisit the issue later in the meeting, citing its relevance to future votes involving the Clerk's office.

Mr. Morelli cited a state legal opinion regarding municipal ethics and the appearance of impropriety, reading excerpts emphasizing that public officials are held to a high standard of conduct and that actions may be questioned if they suggest partiality or self-interest. He stated that the totality of circumstances surrounding staffing and recent developments in the Clerk's office could create an appearance of impropriety. He also referenced concerns about notary certification outcomes and a recent resignation within the office. He urged the Board to obtain an ethics opinion or guidance from the appropriate state authority before proceeding with further votes related to the Clerk's office, stating that doing so would help remove uncertainty and allow future decisions to be made with clearer legal guidance.

AMENDED

Anthony Morelli commented on the resolution related to the public hearing for the data center. He expressed concern that the Deputy Supervisor did not receive adequate support regarding the resolution she submitted and stated that the Town Attorney should provide assistance to all members of the board equally, regardless of political affiliation. He urged that this issue be addressed.

Councilman Fantini thanked the Mr. Morelli for the comments. He stated that he had sought a legal opinion from the Town Attorney regarding the matter. He concluded by acknowledging the remarks and expressing appreciation for them.

Anthony Morelli responded by stating that he appreciated the prior comment and suggested that the Board obtain an additional legal opinion on the matter. Anthony Morelli stated that he would offer that the state controller's office provides opinions to elected officials upon request. He suggested that the board could seek such an opinion before the next resolution involving the Town clerk's office, in order to resolve the matter and address concerns going forward.

No one else wished to be heard.

Public Privilege closed at 9:52 P.M.

MOTION BY Councilwomen Reid, seconded by Councilman Fantini to adjourn the meeting to the next regular meeting or any other meeting necessary for the conduct of Town business.

Motion carried at 9:52 P.M.

Caitlin Fantini
Town Clerk